

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,017	CRAFT, ROGER A.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:

(1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(2) Mr.Chad Guice.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2005

Time: 11am(approx)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-20

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Hugh B. Thompson II
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agrees to amend 3, 5, 7-14, 16, 18, and 20, to correct minor antecedent basis ambiguities, specifically, "a yaw axis" of claims 3 and 9-14, "second adjusting means" of claims 5, 16, 18, and 20, avoiding positive recitation of the tree in claim 7, and the "seat platform" of claim 8.